

Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(1).

Here, the Magistrate Judge assigned to this case initially granted the Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis in the district-court action. (Doc. 5). On March 2, 2015, the Court entered an order adopting the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation to affirm the Commissioner's decision to deny the Plaintiff's application for disability benefits. (Doc. 24). The Plaintiff then filed a notice of appeal of the Court's order and submitted the present motion and the required affidavit in compliance with Rule 24. (Docs. 26; 27). The motion and affidavit satisfy the three elements of the appellate rule, and the Court sees no reason to certify that this appeal is taken in bad faith. Specifically, the Plaintiff claims that the Commissioner wrongfully determined he was not disabled and denied him benefits, even though a previous determination of disability had been made. Based on the Plaintiff's financial situation and compliance with federal rules, he should be allowed to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis. Accordingly, the Plaintiff's motion is **GRANTED**.

SO ORDERED, this 23rd day of April, 2015.

S/ Marc T. Treadwell
MARC T. TREADWELL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT